




Fiscal Note

H.B. 134

2026 General Session
Offender Transfer Amendments
by Acton, Cheryl K.



General, Income Tax, and Uniform School Funds

JR4-4-101

	Ongoing	One-time	Total
Net GF/ITF/USF (rev.-exp.)	\$ (99,000)	\$ (77,000)	\$ (176,000)

State Government

UCA 36-12-13(2)(c)

Revenues	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028
Interstate Cmpct for Adult Offender Sup. (GFR)	\$0	\$23,700	\$23,700
Total Revenues	\$0	\$23,700	\$23,700

Enactment of this legislation could result in \$23,700 of increased ongoing fee collection from individuals requesting a transfer of supervision out of the state.

Expenditures	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028
General Fund	\$0	\$99,000	\$99,000
General Fund, One-time	\$77,000	\$0	\$0
Total Expenditures	\$77,000	\$99,000	\$99,000

Enactment of this legislation could cost the Board of Pardons and Parole \$99,000 ongoing from the General Fund for one FTE and associated costs to process warrants as required by the bill, and \$77,000 one-time from the General Fund for warrant system updates.

	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028
Net All Funds	\$ (77,000)	\$ (75,300)	\$ (75,300)

Local Government

UCA 36-12-13(2)(c)

Enactment of this legislation would require local governments to assume the costs of transporting an interstate compact supervised individual back to Utah if a warrant requires the individual to be extradited. This responsibility could cost local governments an estimated \$1,700 per extradition. However, the total amount is unknown.

Individuals & Businesses

UCA 36-12-13(2)(c)

Enactment of this legislation would cost offenders \$90 to apply for a transfer of supervision to another state. This is a \$40 increase over the current \$50 fee to request a transfer. The total cost increase is estimated to be \$23,700 ongoing.

Regulatory Impact

UCA 36-12-13(2)(d)

Enactment of this legislation likely will not change the regulatory burden for Utah residents or businesses.

Performance Evaluation

JR1-4-601

This bill does not create a new program or significantly expand an existing program.

Notes on Notes

Fiscal explanations estimate the direct costs or revenues of enacting a bill. The Legislature uses them to balance the budget. They do not measure a bill's benefits or non-fiscal impacts like opportunity costs, wait times, or inconvenience. A fiscal explanation is not an appropriation. The Legislature decides appropriations separately.